should not be construed as an admission that the scope of the pending generic claims or any other claims are to be limited by this election.

Applicants here cancel claim 14 which is drawn to a machine with a flywheel because it is drawn to a non-elected species. However, the generic claims are not to be limited or construed to exclude machines with flywheels.

Regarding the Examiner's statement that claims 1-3 are the generic claims, Applicants respectfully disagree. Claims 4 through 13 read on each one of the asserted species 1 through 5. Applicants are not hereby arguing whether the several species are or are not patentably distinct. Rather, Applicants are asserting the absence of grounds to advance or support a species restriction for claims 4 through 13.

Applicants also wish to note for the record that the restriction requirements cannot limit the scope of the search of the Examiner to be performed.

This election is being express mailed on Monday, June 24, 1996, which is the first business day following June 22, 1996, when this response was due. This Amendment is therefore regarded as a timely response to the communication mailed May 22, 1996.

If any fees are required in connection with this Amendment, they may be charged to deposit Account 20-1469.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph A. Walkowski

Registration No. 28,765 Attorney for Applicants

TRASK, BRITT & ROSSA

P. O. Box 2550

Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550

Telephone: (801) 532-1922

Date: June 24, 1996

TJR/bv

N:\1442\2727\2727AMD.PTO 06/24/96